

CHAIR
 Williams A. Ladusaw
 University of California, Santa Cruz

July 11, 2016

VICE CHAIR
 Margaret Kasimatis
 Loyola Marymount University

Dr. Alan Krautsteing,
 President

Jeffrey Armstrong

WASC Accreditation History

WASC Accredited in 1991
 WASC Accredited in 2001
 WASC Accredited in 2011

WASC Accredited in 2016

WASC Accredited in 2016

...action was taken after consideration of the report of the review team that concluded...

...the review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

Actions

1. Review the findings of the report of the review team...
2. Check that the accreditation team's findings are consistent...

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

Comments

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

Notes

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

Recommendations

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

Conclusions

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

Summary

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

Appendix

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

References

...The review team's findings were in large measure consistent with WASC's standards...

2. The institution should demonstrate that it has exhibited financial soundness and successfully completed the transfer

Transfer of Accreditation: Financial Soundness

- a. The ability of the new institution, which operates on the same site, to support a comparable level of academic excellence as the old institution, including but not limited to:
 1. The ability of the new institution to purchase and utilize the same site with the same academic support services and administrative services as the old institution, including but not limited to:
 - a. Academic support services, including but not limited to:

Recommendations:

1. The institution should strengthen its financial soundness by:

1. The institution should continue to ensure faculty and staff are properly compensated and that the assessment of student learning is properly conducted.

2. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

3. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

4. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

5. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

6. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

7. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

8. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

9. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

10. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

11. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

12. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

13. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

14. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

15. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

16. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

17. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

18. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

19. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

20. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

21. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

22. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

23. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

24. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

25. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

26. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

27. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

28. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

29. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

30. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

31. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

32. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

33. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

34. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

35. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

36. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

37. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

38. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

39. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

40. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

41. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

42. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

43. The institution should continue to ensure that the new institution is properly managed.

expected to maintain the same level of academic excellence and quality improvements. Accreditation status is not granted until the institution has met all the requirements of the Commission. Accredited institutions must use the following statement:

Anglo-American University
is an Accredited Institution of the Commission on Higher Education
of the State of Virginia.

The Commission will only grant accreditation to institutions that are properly managed and that have demonstrated a commitment to academic excellence and quality improvements. Accredited institutions must use the following statement:

Anglo-American University
is an Accredited Institution of the Commission on Higher Education
of the State of Virginia.

11/26/2010
11/26/2010

Regulatory and Compliance Changes to the 2008-2009 Annual Report

In accordance with Commission regulations, a copy of this letter will be sent to the relevant Anglo-American directors and executive officers. The Commission's staff will be available to discuss the letter with you. The Commission's staff will be available to discuss the letter with you. The Commission's staff will be available to discuss the letter with you.

Thank you for your cooperation in providing the information requested in this letter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
John F. Smith
Director

MEP/ea

Cc: William E. Johnson, Compliance Officer

cc: Anglo-American Board Chair
Members of the SAVQ Team

Business Administration

Bachelors

On-site

International Relations

Bachelors

On-site

Bachelors

Fin- gibs

Healthcare

On-site

International and Community

Politics and Society

Bachelors

On-site

Public Policy

Bachelors

On-site